given their general objectives, they are usually treated sympathetically by the Commission.)

The Commission has approved a programme concerning licences under patents essential to implementing an ISO standard for transmitting and storing video signals called MPEG-2 (Moving Pictures Expert Group). The programme provides for the creation of a patent portfolio licence that gives access to essential patents on MPEG-2 technology. This patent pool is considered to help promoting technical and economic progress and thus to be compatible with competition law.

MPEG-2 is a flexible and open standard which provides a technique for eliminating redundant information from a video signal to save transmission resources and storage space on storage media such as optical discs. Certain holders of essential patents have agreed to license their patents through a single non-exclusive and non-discriminatory license programme to be administered by MPEG-LA, of Denver, Colorado, USA. The MPEG-2 Licensing programme defines a Patent Portfolio License which gives access to the patents through a single licence which is available from MPEG-LA.

The Commission has found that this patent pool helps to promote technical and economic progress by allowing quick and efficient introduction of the MPEG-2 technology. It therefore considers that the pool has beneficial effects for the consumer and does not contain unnecessary or excessive restrictions on competition. An administrative ("comfort") letter has cleared the programme.

Further details of the MPEG-2 Licensing Programme were published as part of the original notification in the Official Journal of the European Communities on 22 July 1998 (OJ No 98/C 229/06 of 22.7.98)

## The Motorola / Symbian Case

## JOINT VENTURES (MOBILE PHONES): THE MOTOROLA / SYMBIAN CASE

Subject:

Joint ventures

Industry:

Mobile phones; telecommunications

(Some implications for many industries)

Parties:

Motorola Symbian Ericsson Nokia Psion

Source:

Commission Statement IP/98/1181, dated 23rd December 1998

(Note. Much of the interest in this case lies in the fact that the joint venture in question

was notified in accordance with the procedure provided under the Merger Regulation, but was found not to be a "concentration". It therefore has to be dealt with under the procedures for examining cases falling within the scope of Article 85 or Article 86, or both. Although many of the problems of differentiating "concentrative" and "cooperative" joint ventures have been reduced by the changes in the Merger Regulation rules last year, there are still cases which begin under the regulation and end up under the Treaty Articles.)

The Commission has decided that the proposed joint venture between US mobile phone manufacturer Motorola and Symbian company does not constitute a concentration within the meaning of the Merger Regulation. Symbian's other shareholders are the mobile phone manufacturers Ericsson and Nokia and the handheld computer and operating system manufacturer Psion. Symbian is developing Psion's EPOC operating system for use in wireless information devices (WIDS) which combine in one handset the features found in handheld computers with the communications possibilities of a mobile phone. The Symbian operating system will be competing with others currently being used and developed for use in WIDs and handheld computers, for example by Wireless Knowledge (Microsoft & Qualcomm), 3Com(r), GeoWorks, Sun Microsystems, and Sharp.

The creation of the initial joint venture (Symbian 1) was cleared by the Commission under the Merger Regulation in August, 1998. With Motorola!s entry into Symbian, a structural change has been brought about within the company. While the original shareholders of Symbian I jointly controlled the company, under the new constellation there is no longer such joint control, so that the transaction does not constitute a concentration under the Merger Regulation.

At the request of the parties, the transaction will now be dealt with pursuant to the provisions of Regulation 17 (the implementing regulation for the application of Articles 85 and 86 of the EC Treaty). In dealing with this case under Articles 85 and 86, the Commission will take into account the criteria underlying its positive decision regarding Symbian 1, in particular the dynamic nature of the emerging market for operating systems to be used in WIDS, the presence of several competitors on that market, and the commitment by Symbian to licence the operating system it develops to non-shareholders producing WIDs on an open and non-discriminatory basis.

Readers interested in taking part free of charge in a Conference on the Internet on "The Impact of Competition Rules on Intellectual Property Rights", are invited to look at the following web-site: www.ipconference.com

The cases reported in this issue are taken from the Court's web-site. They are not definitive texts and may be subject to linguistic and other amendments. They are freely available for public use.